1	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO	O
2	Dale K. Galipo (SBN 144074)	
2	dalekgalipo@yahoo.com	
3	Cooper Alison-Mayne (SBN 343169)	
	cmayne@galipolaw.com	
4	21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310	
_	Woodland Hills, California, 91367	
5	Telephone: (818) 347-3333	
6	Facsimile: (818) 347-4118	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	Thomas C. Hurrell, State Bar No. 119876	
9	E-Mail: thurrell@hurrellcantrall.com	
9	Jordan S. Stern, State Bar No. 311527	
10	E-Mail: jstern@hurrellcantrall.com	
	Nicole G. Ortega, State Bar No. 345882 E-Mail: nortega@hurrellcantrall.com	
11	HURRELL CANTRALL LLP	
12	725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 3800	
	Los Angeles, California 90017	
13	Telephone: (213) 426-2000 Facsimile: (213) 426-2020	
14	, ,	
	Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF BRENDA ALCANTARA	LOS ANGELES, BLAKE RUNGE and
15	DRENDA ALCANTARA	
16		
16	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF	CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
	CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT OF	CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
18		
19	URSULA BYRAM, S.B. by and	Case No.: 2:23-cv-09285-KS
	through guardian ad litem TIMOTHY	
20	BYRAM, N.B. by and through guardian	[Assigned to Hon. Karen L. Stevenson,
21	ad litem TIMOTHY BYRAM, and A.B.	Courtroom 580]
21	by and through guardian ad litem	JOINT [PROPOSED] VERDICT
22	KAITLYN HUMENCHUK,	FORMS
	individually and as successors-in-	
23	,	FPTC: January 21, 2025
24	interest to Everett Byram,	Trial: February 10, 2025
- 1	D1-:-4:CC-	
25	Plaintiffs,	
,		
26	V.	
27	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,	
	BLAKE RUNGE, and BRENDA	
28		

Filed 12/10/24

Page 2 of 22 Page ID #:718

Case 2:23-cv-09285-KS

Document 84

1	PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
2	
3	
4	We the jury in the above-entitled case find as follows:
5	EXCESSIVE FORCE AND BATTERY CLAIM
6	
7	QUESTION 1: Did Blake Runge use excessive or unreasonable force against Everett
8	Byram?
9	
10	YES NO
11	
12	
13	If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, please answer Question 2.
14	If you answered "No" to Question 1, please proceed to Question 3.
15	
16	
17	QUESTION 2: Was Blake Runge's the use of excessive or unreasonable force a
18	cause of harm, damage, injury, loss, or death to Everett Byram's?
19	
20	YES NO
21	
22	
23	Please proceed to the next Question.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT CLAIM
2	
3	QUESTION 3: Did Blake Runge interfere with Plaintiff Ursula Byram, S.B., N.B.,
4	and A.B.'s familial relationships with Everett Byram?
5	
6	
7	YES NO
8	
9	
10 11	Please proceed to the next Question.
12	
13	UNLAWFUL DETENTION CLAIM
14	
15	QUESTION 4: Did Brenda Alcantara unreasonably detain Ursula Byram?
16	
17	YES NO
18	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, please answer Question 5.
19	If you answered "No" to Question 4, please answer Question 5. If you answered "No" to Question 4, please proceed to Question 6.
20	you answered No to Question 4, please proceed to Question 6.
21	
22	
23	QUESTION 5: Was the unreasonable detention a cause of harm to Ursula Byram?
24	QCESTION C. Was the ameasonable detention a cause of main to orsula Bytain.
25	YESNO
26	
27	Please proceed to Question 6.
28	4
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	
2	UNCONSTITUTIONAL CUSTOM OR POLICY CLAIM
3	
4	QUESTION 6: Did Blake Runge act pursuant to an expressly adopted official policy
5	or a widespread longstanding practice or custom of the County of Los Angeles?
6	
7	YES NO
8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, please answer Question 7.
9	If you answered "No" to Question 6, please proceed to Question 8.
10	
11 12	QUESTION 7: Was the County of Los Angeles' official policy or widespread or
13	longstanding practice or custom a moving force behind the injury, damage, harm or
14	death of Everett Byram?
15	
16	YES NO
17	Please proceed to Question 8
18	Fleuse proceed to Question 8
19	
20	FAILURE TO TRAIN CLAIM
21	TARRETT TO TAULANT OBTAINS
22	QUESTION 8: Did the County of Los Angeles fail to properly train Blake Runge with
23	respect to the use of deadly force?
24	
25	YES NO
26	If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, please answer question 9.
27	If you answered "No" to Question 8, please proceed to question 11.
28	5
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	
2	QUESTION 9: Was the County of Los Angeles deliberately indifferent to the known
3	or obvious consequences of its failure to train Blake Runge?
4	of obvious consequences of its fairare to train Blake Range.
5	YES NO
6	
7	If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, please answer Question 10.
8	If you answered "No" to Question 9, please proceed to Question 11.
9	QUESTION 10: Was the County of Los Angeles' failure to train Blake Runge with
10	respect to the use of deadly force a moving force behind the injury, damage, harm or
11	death to Everett Byram?
12	
13	YESNO
14	
15	Please proceed to Question 11.
16	
17	RATIFICATION CLAIM
18	QUESTION 11: Did a final policymaker for the County of Los Angeles make a
19	deliberate choice to approve Blake Runge's acts and the bases for it?
20	
21	YES NO
22	
23	Please Proceed to Question 12.
24	
25	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT CLAIM
26	QUESTION 12: Did Everett Byram have a disability?
27	
28	6
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Case 2:23-cv-09285-KS

Document 84

Filed 12/10/24

Page 9 of 22 Page ID #:725

PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Filed 12/10/24 Page 11 of 22 Page ID #:727

1	QUESTION 27: What are Plaintiffs' wrongful death damages for the loss of Everett
2	Byram?
3	
4	S.B. Past wrongful death damages \$
5	
6	S.B. Future wrongful death damages \$
7	N.B. Past wrongful death damages \$
8 9	N.B. Future wrongful death damages \$
10	
11	A.B. Past wrongful death damages \$
12	A.B. Future wrongful death damages \$
13	
14	Ursula Byram Past wrongful death damages \$
15	Ursula Byram Future wrongful death damages \$
16	
17	Answer Question 28 only if you answered "Yes" to Question 5.
18	
19	QUESTION 28: What are Ursula Byram's past and future economic and
20	noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering?
21	Past noneconomic loss \$
22	Future noneconomic loss \$
23	
24	Please proceed to the next question.
25	
26	QUESTION 29: Do you find that any of the defendants acted with malice,
27	oppression, or in reckless disregard for Everett Byram's constitutional rights?
28	12
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Cas	e 2:23-cv-09285-KS Document 84 Filed 12/10/24 Page 13 of 22 Page ID #:729
1	
2	Blake Runge YES NO
3	Brenda Alcantara YES NO
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Please sign and return this verdict form.
9	
10	Signed: Dated:
11	
12	Jury Foreperson
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	13
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
2	
3	We the jury in the above-entitled case find as follows:
4	OHEGINONIA D'ADLA D
5	QUESTION 1: Did Blake Runge use excessive force against Everett Byram?
6	7777
7	YESNO
8	
9	If you answered "YES" to Question 1, please answer Question 2.
10	If you answered "NO" to Question 1, please proceed to Question 12.
11	
12	QUESTION 2: Was the use of excessive force a cause of harm, damage, injury, loss,
13	or death to Everett Byram?
14	
15	YES NO
16	
17	If you answered "YES" to Question 2, please answer Question 3.
18	If you answered "NO" to Question 2, please proceed to Question 12.
19	
20	QUESTION 3: Did Blake Runge act pursuant to an expressly adopted official policy
21	or a widespread longstanding practice or custom of the County of Los Angeles?
22	
23	YESNO
24	
25	If you answered "YES" to Question 3, please answer Question 4.
26	If you answered "NO" to Question 3, please proceed to Question 5.
27	
28	14

PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Filed 12/10/24 Page 15 of 22 Page ID #:731

Case 2:23-cv-09285-KS

Document 84

1	QUESTION 7: Was the County of Los Angeles' failure to train Blake Runge with
2	respect to the use of deadly force a moving force behind the injury, damage, harm or
3	death to Everett Byram?
4	
5	YES NO
6	
7	Please proceed to Question 8.
8	
9	QUESTION 8: Did [name of final policymaker] have final policymaking authority
10	from County of Los Angeles concerning the use of deadly force by Blake Runge?
11	
12	YES NO
13	If you answered "Yes" to Question 8, please answer Question 9.
14	
15	If you answered "No" to Question 8, please proceed to Question 10.
16	QUESTION 9: Did [name of final policymaker] make a deliberate choice to approve
17	Blake Runge's use of deadly force and the basis for it?
18	
19	VEC NO
20	YESNO
21	OUESTION 10. Did Dielse Dunge est with a numerous to harm when using force
22	QUESTION 10: Did Blake Runge act with a purpose to harm when using force
23	against Everett Byram?
24	YES NO
25	
26	If you answered "YES" to Question 10, please answer Question 11.
27	If you answered "NO" to Question 10, please proceed to Question 12.
28	16
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1 2	QUESTION 11: Did Blake Runge's use of force interfere with the familial relationship between S.B., N.B. and/or A.B. with Everett Byram?
3	YES NO
5	Please proceed to the next Question 12.
	Trease proceed to the new Question 12.
6 7	QUESTION 12: Did Brenda Alcantara seize Ursula Byram's person?
8	Company of the contract of the
9	YES NO
10	
11	If you answered "YES" to Question 12, please answer Question 13.
12	If you answered "NO" to Question 12, please proceed to Question 15.
13	
14	QUESTION 13: Did Brenda Alcantara unreasonably detain Ursula Byram?
15	NEG NO
16	YESNO
17	If you answered "YES" to Question 13, please answer Question 14.
18	If you answered "NO" to Question 13, please proceed to Question 15.
19	
20	QUESTION 14: Did the unreasonable detention cause harm to Ursula Byram?
21	
22	YES NO
23	Please proceed to Question 15.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	17
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	QUESTION 15: Did Everett Byram have a disability?
2	
3	YES NO
4	
5	If you answered "Yes" to Question 15, please answer Question 16.
6	If you answered "No" to Question 15, please proceed to question 18.
7	
8	QUESTION 16: Did the County of Los Angeles exclude Everett Byram from
9	participation in or deny Everett Byram the benefits of any service or program offered
10	by the County of Los Angeles?
11	
12	YES NO
13	
14	If you answered "Yes" to Question 16, please answer Question 17.
15	If you answered "No" to Question 16, please proceed to Question 18.
16	
17	QUESTION 17: Was Everett Byram denied the benefits of the program or service
18	solely by reason of his disability?
19	
20	YES NO
21	
22	Please proceed to Question 18.
23	QUESTION 18: Was Blake Runge negligent toward Everett Byram?
24	QCESTION TO Was Brake Range negligent to ward Everett Byrain.
25	YES NO
26	
27	If you answered "Yes" to Question 18, please proceed to Question 19.
28	If you answered "No" to Question 18, please proceed to Question 23. 18
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	QUESTION 19: Was Blake Runge's negligence a cause of harm, damage, injury,
2	loss, or death to Everett Byram's?
3	
4	YES NO
5	
6	
7	If you answered "Yes" to Question 19, please proceed to Question 20.
8	If you answered "No" to Question 19, please proceed to Question 23.
9	
10	QUESTION 20: Was Everett Byram negligent?
11	
12	VEC NO
13	YES NO
14	
15	If you answered "Yes" to Question 20, please answer Question 21.
16	If you answered "No" to Question 20, please proceed to Question 22.
17	
18	
19	QUESTION 21: Was Everett Byram's negligence a cause of his harm, damage,
20	injury, loss, or death?
21	
22	YESNO
23	
24	If you answered "Yes" to Question 21, please answer Question 22.
25	If you answered "No" to Question 21, please proceed to Question 22.
26	-5 y = 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
27	
28	10
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

\$_____

Answer Question 25 only if you answered "Yes" to 2, 4, 7, 9, 17, and/or 19.

26

22

23

24

25

27

28

20

1	QUESTION 25: What are Ursula Byram's noneconomic damages for the loss of
2	Everett Byram?
3 4	Ursula Byram's nonecomonic damages \$
5	Answer Question 25 only if you answered "Yes" to Question 11.
6	QUESTION 25: What are S.B., N.B. and A.B's damages as a result of the interference
8	with their familial relationship with Everett Byram?
9	S.B.'s damages \$
10	
11	N.B.'s damages \$
12	A.B.'s damages \$
14	Answer Question 26 only if you answered "Yes" to Question 14.
15 16 17	QUESTION 26: What are Ursula Byram's noneconomic damages as a result of the unlawful detainment?
18	Past noneconomic loss \$
19	Future noneconomic loss \$
20 21	Please proceed to question 28.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	21
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1	QUESTION 28: Do you find that any of the defendant deputies acted with malice,
2	oppression, or in reckless disregard for Everett Byram's constitutional rights?
3	
4	Blake Runge YES NO
5	Brenda Alcantara YESNO
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Please sign and return this verdict form.
11	
12	Signed: Dated:
13	
14	Jury Foreperson
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	PROPOSED VERDICT FORM